

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/521,000	03/07/2000	Julie T. Dawe	10991706-1	1135
22879	7590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
	400, 3404 E. HARMON UAL PROPERTY ADM		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INS, CO 80527-2400		2624	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/521,000	DAWE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M Brinich	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed thy (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14-22 and 24-30</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14-22 and 24-30</u> is/are rejection and claim(s) is/are objected to.	ected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-22, & 24-30 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaughnessy et al. or Ng
et al in view of Martin et al.

Re claims 1-2, 4-6, 11-13, 14-16, 21-22, & 24-26,
Shaughnessy et al (Abstract; column 5, line 66 - column 6, line
59; Figure 3a) and Ng et al (Abstract; column 5, line 10 column 6, line 2; Figure 3) each disclose a system for
selectively processing selected portions of a document image in
which a predetermined color ink (highlighter pen) is applied to
annotate user-selected regions of a document with alphanumeric
codes. When the document is scanned, these alphanumeric codes
are detected, recognized via optical character recognition, and
stored (Shaughnessy et al. column 9, lines 11-33; Ng et al.
column 7, lines 42-63) as annotations to be used in the
following document processing. The regions associated with each
are automatically determined by the processing software; these
document regions are then each processed in accordance with
their respective annotated instructions.

Re claims 7-10, 17-20, & 27-30, the resulting processed documents are then printed with the appearance of the annotation characters removed (e.g. the region selected by the user and

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000

Art Unit: 2624

annotated "X-5" would be shifted five units along the X axis, and printed without "X-5" appearing superimposed thereupon).

Re claims 7, 9, 17, 19, 27, & 29, a first printout used as a test print prior to the generation of a final printout is readable upon a (not further defined) recitation of a "preview image".

Shaughnessy et al or Ng et al do not disclose the selective viewing of the annotations. The selective viewing of annotations is a known element of the annotation art as disclosed by Martin et al (column 9, lines 1-9; column 12, lines 4-11). Shaughnessy et al or Ng et al and Martin et al are combinable because they are from the field of document annotation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the selective annotation display of Martin et al in combination with

Shaugnessy et al or Ng et al in order to provide the advantage of viewing the document either with the information provided in the annotations or without the clutter produced by the annotations, as desired for a given situation.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-22, & 23-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000

Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,000 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

November 29, 2004